

# Index

**Bold print indicates words in the Glossary.**

## A

- Abolition or creation of agencies or offices  
ambiguity of term, 46  
statutory authority for findings and recommendations concerning: Table 3, Chapter 2: abolition or creation of agencies or offices  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: abolition or creation of offices, eliminating authority to recommend
- Absenteeism. *See* Grand juror(s): absenteeism, effects on investigations
- Accountability  
criterion of, 67, 168–170  
of local government, as a type of investigation, 103  
minor reports also important, 233–235  
reporting grand jury activities and workload, 168  
*See also* Workload
- Accounts, books, records, etc. of county officers. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Accusation** for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance, 27, 261  
*See also* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance  
*See also* Table 3, Chapter 2: public officers
- Achievements of grand juries  
examples of, 111–114  
scarcity of data concerning, 30  
*See also* Amador County Grand Jury  
*See also* Grand Jury Exchange  
Seminars: accomplishments of grand juries  
*See also* Newspapers, news media: achievements of grand juries, reports of  
*See also* Placer County Grand Jury
- Activity report (in 10-section format), 244
- Additional grand jury in each county, opinion concerning. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: additional grand jury in each county
- Ad hoc** committees of grand juries, 126, 130, 412n.54
- Administrative grand juries, 19
- Advisor judge**
- Advisors, legal  
authorized for grand jurors, 347  
unavailability of, 261
- Allegation**  
“Allness,” problem of, 34
- Alternative schools. *See* Education: alternative schools
- Amador County Grand Jury computerized tracking study, 175
- Ambiguity in statutes. *See generally* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity

- American Revolution  
and origins of citizenship, 349  
citizens involved in, 329  
*See also* Grand jury: as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution
- Apathetic citizens. *See* Citizenship: apathetic, conditioning for
- Appeal**
- Appellate court**
- Argument culture, 337
- Aristotle. *See generally* “Serious citizens”
- Artwork and binding. *See* Final reports: artwork and binding
- Ascending government and the Constitution, 350, 355
- Assembly Bill 1814, 415n.6
- Assembly Bill 829, 10, 204
- Assessing or taxing districts, special purpose. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2: special-purpose assessing or taxing district
- Assessor, county. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2: county assessor
- Assize of Clarendon. *See* History of the grand jury: Assize of Clarendon
- Assistants, hiring of. *See* Consultants for grand juries: availability of
- Associations in community life. *See* Citizens’ associations and organizations
- Associations of former grand jurors  
alliance with current grand juries, 423n.22  
*See also* Independence: associations of former grand jurors
- Attitudes toward government. *See* Grand jurors: attitudes toward government
- Attorney General of the State of California, examples of opinions issued by. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury
- Audit(s)**  
audit function of grand juries, 108–110  
financial, examples of, 108–109  
performance, examples of, 109–110  
statutes authorizing grand juries to contract for, 401n.28
- Authority for an investigation. *See* Investigative reports, individual: authority for investigation
- Authority for civil function of grand jury  
*See* Grand jury: authority, three types of  
*See generally* Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Ax grinding  
and civility, 307  
and follow-up investigations, 164  
example of possible, 133  
*See also* Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution: ax grinding, language use as an indication of
- B**
- Backgrounding. *See* Investigations: backgrounding
- Bad practice creates bad law. *See* Grand jury: bad practice creates bad law
- “Benevolent paternalism” of grand juries in seventeenth century. *See* Grand jury: benevolent paternalism of in seventeenth century
- “Better Business Bureau” concerning government services, 342
- “Big Bang” of American Revolution. *See* Grand jury: as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution
- Bill of Rights and grand jury. *See* Grand jury: and Bill of Rights
-

- “Blind eye” of social science, grand jury as. *See* Grand jury: as “blind eye” of social science
- Blue-ribbon grand jury. *See under* Selection
- Blunders by grand jurors  
and drastic legislation, 2  
few or many?, 11–12
- Brochures of grand juries, 127
- Brown Act**, 129
- Budgets of grand juries. *See generally* Expenditures of grand juries
- Bugging grand juries. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Bureaucracy, trappings of and grand juror social status, 310
- Bureaucratic encounters and conflicts, 114–117
- C**
- Calendar-year** grand juries. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: calendar-year grand juries
- Carry-over grand jurors  
and plagiarism. *See* Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem  
presence on a panel a “red flag,” 275  
reasons for eliminating the statute authorizing, *See* Selection: carry-over grand jurors, reasons for eliminating statute authorizing  
social status and, 311  
statute fosters mediocre investigations and report, 311  
*See also* Contra Costa County Grand Jury discontinuance of appointing carry-over grand jurors  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: carry-over grand jurors, eliminate statute
- See also* San Joaquin County Grand Jury: carry-over study  
*See also* Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study
- Case law**  
*Clinton v. Superior Court*, *See* *Clinton* case: ruling and implications for grand jurors  
examples of that affect the civil function, 53–57  
*Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple*, 54  
importance of for understanding the civil grand jury, 62  
*McClatchy Newspapers v. Superior Court*, 54  
as part of patchwork body of grand jury law, 279  
*Unnamed Minority members, etc. v. Superior Court*, 53
- Charge**, judicial  
authority for, 60  
definition of, 59  
examples of topics, 60  
and grand jury culture, 256
- Charter schools. *See* Education: alternative schools
- Checklist for improving reports and investigations  
use of, 248–249  
*See also* Appendix C
- Chronology of main issues. *See* Investigative reports, individual: chronology of main issues
- “Citizen Review Committee,” proposal to change “grand jury” to, 311
- Citizen(s)  
activism and the “good citizen,” 352  
apathy, discontent, etc. concerning government, 349  
“cocoon” citizens, 315  
competence of, 14–19  
critical thinking, decline in skills of, 266, 309, 336

- discontent and distrust of government, study by National Civic League, 14
- experience of as activists, case studies of (*see* Social science research project concerning citizenship, citizens' experiences as activists)
- failure to inquire into governmental affairs, 348
- "good enough," 17, 348
- group-think theory, 309
- harassment of (*see* Intimidation, of citizens by public officials)
- Internet and citizen activism, 341
- lack of knowledge about government, 19
- monitorial, 353
- See also* Citizenship
- Citizens' associations and organizations
- Alcoholics Anonymous, 330
- citizens' public-expenditure councils, 329
- crime commissions, 329
- decline in, 329
- Granger movement, 329
- Know Nothing party, 329
- as "schools for citizenship," 329
- taxpayers' associations, 329
- Women's Christian Temperance movement, 329
- Citizens' Review Committee
- proposal to change "grand jury" to, 351
- Citizenship
- accountability and vigilance, 20
- active citizenship, encouraging, 330
- apathetic, conditioning for, 342
- confusion of term with "immigration," 355
- consequences of decline in, 13
- cross-cultural study, 15
- "deep citizenship," 315
- definitions and literature, 12–19
- five examples of grand jury investigations that demonstrate a sense of active (*see* Investigations and civic values)
- Good Citizen, The*, 352
- as identity, 343
- as legal profession uses term, 345
- marketplace conception of, 342
- mature sense of, 316, 334
- need for new understanding of, 352–354
- "news blackout" of local government as barrier to development of, 313
- news media and, 334
- as passive consumerism, 342
- "paying attention," 315
- and persistent problems of grand juries, 304–312
- as pragmatism, 307
- renewed interest in, 12–13
- research project concerning (*see* Social science research project concerning citizenship)
- scrutiny, public, required for honest, effective government, 313
- the Self and, 356
- semantics of the word (*see* Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution: citizenship)
- significance of for the grand jury, 19–21
- skills needed, 18
- societal trends affecting, 13
- somnambulant form of, 351
- as somnolent subjecthood, 329
- and spirit of the institution, 312–317
- stages of, 14
- "Stopover in a Quiet Town" as metaphor, 327
- subjecthood, and descending power, 354
- subjecthood, conditioning for, 350
- subjecthood, examples of, 355
- theory, lack of, 12
- three concerns in its literature, 15
- two types of, 16

- vagueness of term, 12  
and voting, 16  
*See also* Legal profession: and citizenship
- City government, jurisdiction in. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- City managers. *See* Professionalism: city managers and county administrators
- Civic community, as Tocquevillian ideal, 342
- Civic grand jury. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: “civic” rather than “civil” grand jury
- Civic journalism. *See* Newspapers, news media: civic journalism replacing traditional investigative reporting
- Civic re-armament. *See* Social science research project concerning citizenship: civic re-armament
- Civic troubleshooters, 17
- Civic values  
access to public records, 319  
accountability in office, 336  
attending public meetings, 319  
citizenship, investigations, and the spirit of the institution, 326–327  
common good, 319  
and “Cook’s Tours” final reports, 317  
examples of, 318–320  
explanation of term, 35, 317  
gifts of public funds, 319  
occupations of grand jurors and, 317  
open government, 319  
professional management of government, 318  
public employment and favoritism, 320, 339  
reputation of civil grand jury, relationship to, 320  
sovereignty of citizens, 327  
three categories of, 320  
*See also* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Civics  
brief history of civics education, 332  
influence of commercial interests on curriculum, 331  
lack of education about in schools, 392n.17  
National Standards for Civics and Government, 333  
“problems of democracy” perspective in civics textbooks, 332  
public administration practitioners and civics education, 333  
textbooks, earlier era, 332
- Civil function of the grand jury  
authority for, three types of, 40  
defined, 1  
elsewhere in the United States, 254  
as focus of study, 27  
watchdog function, another term for, 1  
*See also* History of the grand jury
- Civil Grand Jury Training, Communication and Efficiency Act of 1997, 204, 311, 351
- Civil investigation**
- Civility. *See* Ax grinding: and civility
- Civil powers**
- Civil proceeding**
- Civil suits against grand jurors. *See* Penal Code Section 930: and immunity and privilege defined
- Clinton* case  
authority of foremen, committees, individual grand jurors, 81  
ruling and implications for grand jurors, 56, 208  
*See also* Appendix A  
*See also* Culture, grand jury: and *Clinton* case
- “Cocoon” citizen. *See* Citizen(s): “cocoon” citizens
- Coding decisions for study. *See* Appendix A
- Coffee houses as civic institutions, 352

- Collegial** and corporate nature of the grand jury  
collegial bodies defined, 206  
creating and maintaining, 206–209  
examples of problems, 150–155  
experience-and-skills inventory, 207–208  
foreman’s role, misunderstanding of, 150–151  
“get acquainted” questionnaire, 207  
peer-review procedures, developing, 208–209  
practices related to the collegial nature of the grand jury, criterion of, 66–67  
suggestions concerning, 231–232  
*See also* Clinton case: ruling and implications for grand jurors  
*See also* Committees of grand juries: emphasis on
- Commendations of public officials by grand jurors  
Orange County Grand Jury, 5  
“profile” of a candidate for public office, 257
- Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations  
compliance with statute requiring, 178  
eighteen types of, 176  
ensuring compliance with comment requirements, 178–179  
examples of grand jurors’ dissatisfaction with, 53  
foreman urged judge to ensure compliance, 179  
grand jurors’ comments about, 176–178  
interim reports, no requirement for public officials to comment, 52, 53, 184  
“no comment,” opinion concerning, 202  
order by court to comply with requirement, 52  
requirements for (in 10-section format) (*see* Investigative reports, individual: comment requirements)  
statute requiring, 175  
three methods to ensure filing of, 52–57  
use of “comments” and “responses” in statute, 411n.32  
and word choice, 179–180  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: comments to findings and recommendations presumed to represent position of official or body to whom directed  
*See also* Writ of mandate
- Committees of grand juries  
assignments, preferences for, 207  
emphasis on, 151–152, 196  
inadvisable to create too early in year, 212  
influence of names on workload (*see* Workload: influence of committee names on)  
line and administrative, 212  
names of as titles for investigative reports, 196, 197  
names of in 1963, 74  
names of in handbooks, procedures manuals, 74  
names of in study period, 77–78  
organization and procedures, deciding, 211–214  
persistence of names, 74–76  
trends in organization, 78  
*See also* Editorial committees, suggested tasks of
- Common good  
special-interest politics destructive of, 330  
*See also* Civic values: common good
- Common law**  
origins of the grand jury, 41  
powers of the grand jury replaced by statutes, 30, 39, 41, 254
-

- Communist Party, Russia, 330
- Community criteria  
 community-criteria-related suggestions for improving investigations and reports, 232–236  
 discussion of, 67–68, 163–181
- Complainant(s)**, rarely identified by name, 81
- Complaint(s)**  
 accounting for status of by Santa Cruz County Grand Jury, 168  
 from citizens, final reports contain little information about, 72  
 examples of reasons for rejecting, 82  
 managing, 78–82
- Computers, use of in grand jury service, 174–175
- Conclusionary**
- Conclusions.** *See* Investigative reports, individual: conclusions regarding findings
- Condemnations of named or otherwise identifiable public officials or private persons  
 basis for libel suits, 63  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: names of public officials in reports only in cases of non-criminal failure to discharge public duty
- Confidentiality and secrecy**  
 criteria of, 66  
 issues for grand jurors to consider, 230  
 leaks, examples of, 418n.43  
 problems with, 148–150  
 public officials, leaks by, 407n.105  
 secrecy, discussion of in the *McClatchy* case, 231  
 secrecy, necessity for in grand jury proceedings, 54  
 Social Security number, disclosure by grand jury, 150  
 statutes governing, 418n.44
- See also* Raw evidentiary material holding: problem of
- Conflict of interest**  
 allegation against Tulare County Grand Jury concerning, 205  
 among grand jurors who are former or currently employed public employees, 272, 307  
 example of public employee on grand jury and, 273  
 examples of grand jurors who had in civil investigations, 133, 207, 307  
 grand jury recognition of, example, 107  
 hypothetical example, 308  
*See also* Justified investigations: signs of conflict of interest
- Conflict resolution, as a type of investigation, 102
- Confusion about identity and purpose of the institution. *See* Grand jury: confusion about identity and purpose
- Conscience of the community. *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury: conscience of the community
- Constitution, California, provision for grand juries, 42
- Consultants for grand juries  
 availability of, 51  
*See also* Audit(s)  
*See also* Crimes, investigations of: grand juries may not employ detectives  
*See also* Expenditures of grand juries: for “experts,” “assistants,” “appraisers,” etc.  
*See also* Jails
- Continuity  
 criterion of, 67–68, 170–181  
 desirable and undesirable forms of, 235–236  
 examples of methods to ensure, 170, 235

- See also* Information for succeeding grand juries
- See also* Investigations: recommending to successors
- See also* Tracking investigations, recommendations, and comments
- Contra Costa County Grand Jury discontinuance of appointing carry-over grand jurors, 275
- Contracts for auditing services. *See* Audits: statutes authorizing grand juries to contract for
- Controversy
- See* Grand jury: controversy, grand jury embroiled in unnecessary
- See* Bureaucratic encounters and conflicts
- See* Persistent problems of grand juries, examples of
- “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports trivial findings and recommendations, 166
- See also* Appendix A
- See also* Civic values: and “Cook’s Tours” final reports
- Corporate nature of grand jury. *See generally* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury
- Corrections**
- Corroboration**
- Corruption in government. *See generally* Fraud, graft, and corruption
- Cost estimates of problems identified in final reports
- See* Penal Code Section 916: problems identified in final reports, cost estimates for
- See* Training grand jurors: for estimating costs and documenting findings
- Counsel(s), county**
- See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury: county counsels of California
- See* San Diego County Grand Jury: dispute with County Counsel
- See* Trinity County Grand Jury dispute with County Counsel
- Counsel, legal**
- See* Advisors, legal
- See* Legislation, suggestions for: special counsel for civil grand juries
- County clerk
- assaulted by tax protesters, 328
- final report filed with, 52
- County employees as grand jury support staff
- effects on grand jury culture, 256
- See also under* Independence
- County government
- county officers, departments, functions, authorization for investigation, *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- emphasis on, 47, 76
- Court.** *See under* Superior court(s)
- Court of last resort.** *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury, court of last resort
- Crimes, investigations of; grand juries may not employ detectives, 393n.22
- Criminal justice**
- Criminal proceeding**
- Criteria** used for study
- community-related, 23
- evolution of, 24
- how developed, 61
- implications for grand jury, 155–160
- law-related, 23, 63–67
- number of examples in database for each criterion, 24–26
- rationale for, 22–26
- sources for development of, 23
- technical, 24
- Critical thinking. *See* Citizen(s): critical thinking, decline in skills of

- Criticism of the grand jury  
 as basis for legislative proposal, 204  
 elite character, for its, *See* Selection:  
 elite character, criticism of  
 grand jury for its  
 an example of concerning “business-  
 man outlook,” 269
- Culture, grand jury  
 and *Clinton* case, 305  
 and former grand jurors, 256  
 means of transmitting, 256  
 as term is used in this study, 256  
 and volunteer grand jurors, 256  
*See also* Charge, judicial: and grand  
 jury culture  
*See also* County employees as grand  
 jury support staff: effects on  
 grand jury culture  
*See also* Formal and informal grand  
 jury relationships  
*See also* Procedures manuals: effects  
 on grand jury culture
- D**
- Dahl, Robert, 17
- Database for study, overview. *See*  
 Appendix A
- Decisional law** affecting the civil  
 grand jury. *See* Case law: as  
 part of patchwork body of  
 grand jury law
- “Deep citizenship.” *See* Citizenship:  
 “deep citizenship”
- Defendant**
- Definitions of terms used in study,  
 33–37
- Deliberation, fostering, 210
- Deliberative nature of the grand jury  
 and its fact-finding function, 259  
*See also* Collegial and corporate  
 nature of the grand jury
- Democratization of the grand jury. *See*  
 Grand jury, democratization of
- Descending government  
 citizens as junior partners, 333  
 and legislation restricting grand jury  
 independence, 351
- Detectives, grand jury may not  
 employ. *See* Crimes, investiga-  
 tion of
- Discharge of grand jurors or grand jury  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for:  
 discharge of grand jurors  
*See* Santa Clara County Grand Jury,  
 dissolution of  
*See* Santa Cruz County Grand Jury:  
 discharged by judge
- Disclosure to public officials of findings  
 and recommendations. *See*  
 Public officials: disclosures to of  
 findings and recommendations  
 by grand jury
- Discontent, of citizens. *See* Citizen(s):  
 discontent and distrust of  
 government, study by National  
 Civic League
- Discretionary** authority of grand jury  
 destruction of notes, drafts, etc.,  
 235  
 development of investigative  
 process, 226  
*See also* Grand jurors: discretion of  
*See also* Penal Code Section 916: as  
 “self-government” charter for  
 grand jury
- Distributing final reports. *See* Final  
 reports, distributing
- District attorney(s)**  
 conflict with foreman in New York  
 State, 399n.20  
 deference to by grand jurors and  
 social status, 312  
 grand jury as puppet of, 254  
 grand jury subordinate to, 258  
 indictment hearing, authority of to  
 demand, 28  
 “my grand jury,” use of phrase by,  
 253  
 office sign, and grand jury, 258  
 political aspirations of and the  
 grand jury, 255

- See also* El Dorado County Grand Jury conflict with District Attorney
- See also* Independence: “rubber stamp” grand juries
- See also* Indictment(s): decision to indict exclusively that of grand jury
- See also* Public officials: public defenders, relationships of grand juries with
- See also* Selection: “blue ribbon” grand jury and district attorney
- See also* Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney
- Districts, special. *See* Special district(s)
- Documentation of final reports  
examples of (*see* Table 4, Chapter 2)  
requirement for (*see* Table 4, Chapter 2)
- Documented evidence**  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for: facts, findings, conclusions, recommendations sufficiently documented  
*See* Table 4, Chapter 2
- Due process**  
Durkheim, Emile, 14
- E**
- Eavesdropping on grand jurors. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Editing or sealing final reports, authority of court. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: expungement of grand jury reports by court
- Editorial committees, suggested tasks of, 246–248
- Education  
alternative schools, 355
- changes in and citizenship, 266–268
- contributions of for citizen research, 331
- grand jury jurisdiction in public schools (*see* Public school districts: grand jury jurisdiction in)  
school vouchers, 355
- El Dorado County Grand Jury conflict with District Attorney, 116, 201
- Elderly persons as grand jurors, 266
- Eligibility for grand jury service. *See* Selection, “competent” requirement in Penal Code
- Elite character of grand jury, criticism for its. *See* Selection: elite character, criticism of grand jury for its
- Employee benefits and welfare, as a type of investigation, 102
- Employees, private business, as grand jurors, 266
- Employees, public, as grand jurors. *See generally* Public employees as grand jurors
- Entities**, how the term is used in government, 47
- Equipment, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Escheat** proceedings, grand jury authority concerning  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for: escheat proceedings, eliminate statute concerning  
*See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Ethics in grand jury service  
code of conduct, how maintained, 213  
suggested code of conduct, 416n.26
- Evidence**  
*See* Documented evidence  
*See* Factuality

- See* Legislation, suggestions for:  
 facts, findings, conclusions,  
 recommendations sufficiently  
 documented  
 factuality, at least two indepen-  
 dent facts substantiating  
 material from interview  
 Penal Code Section 916, amend-  
 ments to emphasize factuality  
 standard of proof required for  
 reports of investigations
- Examination**
- Exemptions from grand jury service  
 officials, elected, 339  
*See also* Selection: exemptions from  
 grand jury service, elected  
 public officers and others
- Expenditures of grand juries  
 authority for court to order addi-  
 tional funds, 86  
 authorization for, 85  
 compared to those of boards of  
 supervisors, 1984–1996, 87–  
 92  
 description of trends, 90  
 effects on quality of investigations  
 and reports, 256  
 for “experts,” “assistants,”  
 “appraisers,” etc., 86  
 grand juries and boards of supervi-  
 sors in five counties, 90–92  
 independence, implications for, 86  
 published by Office of the Controller,  
 State of California, 400n.23  
 and the spirit of the institution, 94  
 statute forbidding grand jury to  
 exceed budget, 86  
 statutes concerning, 85–87  
 trends, 87–92  
 where information about found, 85  
*See also* Appendix A  
*See also* Resources of grand juries
- Expenses of grand jurors  
 fees and mileage, 92–93  
*See also* Opinions pertaining to the  
 civil grand jury, Office of the  
 Attorney General of the State  
 of California, examples:  
 expenses of grand jurors
- Experience and skills inventory. *See*  
 Collegial and corporate nature  
 of the grand jury: experience-  
 and-skills inventory
- Experts, grand jury authority to hire.  
*See generally* Consultants for  
 grand juries
- Expunge, authority for court to  
*See* Final reports: court, refusal by  
 to file, edit, seal  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for:  
 expungement
- External features of final reports. *See*  
 Final reports: external features
- F**
- Fact-finding, citizens’ skills of. *See*  
 Citizen(s): critical thinking,  
 decline in skills of
- Facts  
 examples of, 228  
 no explicit requirement for in grand  
 jury reports, 261  
 term rarely used in grand jury  
 reports, 194
- Facts, findings, conclusions, and  
 recommendations, a chain of  
 reasoning, 229
- Factuality  
 decrease of in final reports because  
 of “raw evidentiary material”  
 problem, 263  
 need for, 222–223  
 suggestions for internal training in,  
 223–224  
*See also* Writing styles, objective and  
 subjective
- Fair comment**  
 criterion of, 63–64  
 examples of problems, 129–132  
 names, publishing in final reports,  
 128

public officials, fiduciary duty, 128  
suggestions for training to ensure,  
217–218

Fees and mileage. *See* Expenses of  
grand jurors: fees and mileage

### **Felony**

Filing final reports, acceptance by court  
*See* Final reports: acceptance  
statement by judge  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for:  
privilege for grand jurors when  
judge signs declaration

### **Final reports**

acceptance statement by judge, 238  
artwork and binding, 183  
contents and page numbers, 238  
court, refusal by to file, edit, seal, 55  
cover and binding, 237  
distributing, 197–199  
external features, 182–184  
factuality not necessarily improved  
by how organized, 267  
first inside page, 237  
format, suggested for individual  
investigative reports (*see*  
Investigative reports, individual:  
format suggested for)  
format, suggestions for entire  
document, 236  
how to obtain, 387n.39  
indexes for, 185  
internal features, 185–197  
Internet, publication of final  
reports, 246  
minority reports as sign of frag-  
mented grand juries, 232  
minority reports, legality of, 53  
as newspaper inserts, 1, 198–199,  
246, 247, 419n.52  
paying newspapers to publish, 316  
preface, 239  
principal source of study data, *See*  
Method used for study: final  
reports as principal source of  
data for study  
printing of, 185–186

readability and jargon, 196–197  
size and length of, 183–184  
and social science research, 339  
statutes governing issuance of, 51  
tables of contents for, 185  
“tabloid” formats, a suggested  
alternative, 245–246  
“tabloid” formats, reasons for not  
using, 419n.52  
“tabloid” formats used by grand  
juries in mid-nineteenth  
century, 414n.78  
ten-section format, 236–245  
titles of investigations, 196  
typography, 185–186  
*See also* Interim reports  
*See also* Investigative reports,  
individual

Finances of grand juries. *See generally*  
Expenditures of grand juries

Finances, statutes governing grand  
jury. *See* Expenditures of grand  
juries: statutes concerning

**Financial audits.** *See* Audits: financial,  
examples of

### **Finding(s)**

ambiguity of word, 227, 260  
cost estimates for, 141, 227–228  
definition of, 227  
legislative clarification of, 260  
problems with, 142–143  
requirement to document, 50, 141  
vague definition of in *McClatchy*  
case, 263  
verification by public officials, 203  
*See also* Findings and recommenda-  
tions: legality and effectiveness  
*See also* Investigative reports,  
individual: findings  
*See also* Penal Code Section 916:  
findings, need for definition of

Findings and recommendations  
criterion of, 65–66  
editorial committee as “devil’s  
advocate,” 229  
legality and effectiveness, 141–148

- reporting in newspaper, *See* Final reports, “tabloid” formats, a suggested alternative suggestions for more effective, 226–230  
*See also* “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports: trivial findings and recommendations
- First Amendment  
 and citizenship skills, 313  
 and freedom of the press, 350  
 and informed citizens, 337  
 and representative government, 319
- Fiscal-year grand juries. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: fiscal-year grand juries
- “Fishing expedition”  
 abhorrent to American character, 55  
 origin of term, possible, 396n.46
- Five-point test for deciding merits of conducting an investigation, 219
- Flow chart for investigations. *See* Investigative procedures and process: suggested flow chart
- Follow-up study of investigations. *See generally* Tracking investigations, recommendations and comments
- Foreman**, forewoman  
 appointment by court, 150  
 conflict with county secretarial staff member, 258  
 credentials of, misleading, 305  
 defining role of, 209  
 improper or unauthorized exercise of authority, 127, 407n.108  
 reports of not conducive to cohesiveness, 232  
*See also* Clinton case  
*See also* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: foreman’s role
- Formal and informal grand jury relationships, effects on grand jury culture, 256
- Formats for entire final reports and individual investigative reports  
*See* Final reports: format, suggestions for entire document  
*See* Investigative reports, individual: format suggested for
- Former grand jurors. *See* Culture, grand jury: and former grand jurors
- “Forms,” dislike by American citizens of, 156
- Fraud, graft, and corruption  
 absence of references to in civics textbooks, 342  
 grand juries less involved in investigations of than in past, 255  
 grand jury involvement in indictments for, advantages of, 299
- Funds, suit ordering recovery of. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- G**
- General session**
- Georgia grand jury, 295
- “Get acquainted” questionnaire. *See* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: “get acquainted” questionnaire
- Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple*  
 need for grand jurors to be familiar with case, 217  
*See also* Case law  
*See also* Libel, suit against Lake County Grand Jury
- Goals 2000, 134, 318, 333
- The Good Citizen*. *See* Citizenship: *Good Citizen, The*
- “Good enough” citizens. *See* Citizen(s): “good enough”
- Governance, as a type of investigation, 101
- Government review and inspection, as a type of investigation, 101
- Graft. *See generally* Fraud, graft, and corruption

- Grand juror(s)
- absenteeism, effects on investigations, 140
  - acting alone, 155
  - attitudes toward government, 268–269
  - discharged by judge (*see* Santa Cruz County Grand Jury: discharged by judge)
  - discretion of, 21, 46
  - emphasis on, 152–155
  - fear of liability, 261
  - impatience with training for objectivity, 267
  - individual, may declare knowledge of public offenses (*see* Public offense(s): grand jurors may declare knowledge of)
  - lack of knowledge (*see* Local government: grand jurors' lack of knowledge)
  - number of assenting votes required, 396n.51
  - number to be impaneled, 388n.49 and social status, 253, 310–312
- Grand jury**
- abuse of by grand jurors, 3
  - as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution, 355
  - authority, three types of, 27
  - bad practice creates bad law, 158–159
  - benevolent paternalism of in seventeenth century, 310
  - and Bill of Rights, 160
  - as “blind eye” of social science, 352
  - challenges to, examples of, 53
  - confusion about identity and purpose, 157–158
  - controversy, grand jury embroiled in unnecessary, 159–160
  - democratization of, 310
  - as force for civic improvement, 31, 255
  - history (*see* History of the grand jury)
  - institutional prestige and dignity, how diminished, 159
  - integrity and objectivity, raising doubts about, 158
  - as “lost continent” of social science, 352
  - as mediating institution, 14
  - as microcosm of the larger political system, 11
  - as model of effective governance, 119
  - and “private room,” 259
  - reports and citizenship, 12
  - “runaway” grand jury (*see* Independence, “runaway” grand jury)
  - size of, reduction in, 296
  - State government, civil grand jury proposed for, 296
  - State grand jury nonexistent in California, 34
  - See also* Civil function of the grand jury
  - See also* Idealized conception of the grand jury
  - See also* Institutional purpose
  - See also* School for public affairs, grand jury as
- Grand jury box. *See* Selection: grand jury box
- Grand Jury Exchange Seminars
- accomplishments of grand juries, 30
  - examples of topics, 266
  - training in investigative methods, 267
- “Great equalizer.” *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Group think. *See* Citizen(s): group-think theory
- H**
- Harm, documenting. *See* Significance of investigations and final reports: documenting “harm”
  - Hawkins* decision, 82
  - Hearsay evidence

- History of the grand jury  
 Alameda County Grand Jury, 390n.1  
 Assize of Clarendon, 254  
 civil function, expansion of in  
   California, 254  
 common-law origins, 391n.5  
 example from late seventeenth-  
   century England, 39  
 Mariposa County Grand Jury,  
   414n.78  
 origins of the civil function, 253  
 three stages of development, 253–  
   255  
 “triers” as precursors to the grand  
   jury, 419n.3  
*See also* Common law  
*See also* Grand jury: and Bill of  
   Rights
- Hold-over grand jurors. *See generally*  
 Carry-over grand jurors
- Housing affairs and authority**, grand  
 jury authority concerning. *See*  
 Table 3, Chapter 2
- I**
- Idealized conception of the grand jury  
 conscience of the community, 35  
 court of last resort, 45  
 great equalizer, 252  
 ombudsman, 45, 252  
*See also* Spirit of the institution
- Immunity**. *See* Penal Code Section  
 930: and immunity
- Impanelment** of grand jurors. *See*  
*generally* Selection
- Imprisoned but not indicted persons,  
 grand jury authority concern-  
 ing. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Incorporated city**. *See* Table 3,  
 Chapter 2
- Indebtedness of local governments. *See*  
 Investigations and civic values,  
 five examples
- Independence  
 associations of former grand jurors,  
 127
- concept not mentioned in grand  
 jury statutes, 62  
 county employee as “assistant” to  
 grand jury, 258  
 criterion of, 63  
 ensuring, 215–216  
 essay contests sponsored by grand  
 juries for public schools, 257  
 examples of problems, 125–127  
 former grand jurors, attempts by to  
 influence grand juries (*see*  
   Culture, grand jury: and  
   former grand jurors)  
 grand jury “administrator,” 259  
 historical development of, 253  
 practices detracting from, 216  
 “rubber stamp” grand juries, 253  
 reports and citizenship, 12  
 “runaway” grand jury, 253  
 “twentieth grand juror,” 259  
*See also* District attorney(s)  
*See also* Formal and informal grand  
 jury relationships  
*See also* Institutional purpose  
*See also* Public officials  
*See also* Spirit of the institution
- Indexes to reports  
 in 10-section format, 245  
 not needed, comment by grand  
 juror, 413n.60  
*See also* Final reports: indexes for
- Indictment(s)**  
 commentary by grand jurors  
   concerning, 82  
 decision to indict exclusively that of  
   grand jury, 298  
 definition of, 28  
 diverting grand jury from civil  
   function, 83  
 effects on grand jury workload, 82–  
   85, 317  
 excluded generally from study, 27  
 Mariposa County Grand Jury,  
   indictments filed by, 4, 205  
 persons imprisoned but not indicted  
   (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)

- presentment of (*see* Presentment: of indictment)
- prosecutor involvement in, 28
- trends in, 1973–1997, 83
- See also* District attorney
- See also* *Hawkins* decision
- Individual grand jurors
- See* Clinton case: authority of foremen, committees, individual grand jurors
- See generally* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury
- Information** (as prosecution process)
- Information for succeeding grand juries
- opinion concerning (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: information given by grand jury to successor)
- statute authorizing, 235
- Inquisitorial**
- Inserts, final reports as newspapers. *See* Final reports: as newspaper inserts
- Institutionalized problems. *See generally* Persistent problems of grand juries and citizenship
- Institutional purpose
- need for clear statutory definition, 253
- See also* Intent, legislative
- See also* Spirit of the institution
- Instructions by court. *See generally* Charge, judicial
- Intent, legislative**
- concerning civil grand jury, need for statement of, 280–281
- suggested wording, 280
- Interim reports**
- no legal basis for, 52
- no requirement for public officials to comment (*see* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations: interim reports, no requirement for public officials to comment) use of, 184–185
- Internal auditors
- in local governments (*see* Investigations and civic values, five examples: internal auditors in local government, extent of)
- See also* Audit(s)
- Internet
- See* Citizen(s): Internet and citizen activism
- See* Final reports: Internet, publication of final reports
- Interviews conducted by grand jurors. *See* Penal Code Section 916: two-person interview requirement
- Intimidation
- of citizens by public officials, 340
- of grand jurors (*see* Legislation, suggestions for: intimidation of grand jurors punishable as felony)
- SLAPPs (Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation), 341
- Investigations, civil**
- Investigations
- backgrounding, 412n.51
- causes of problems in conducting, 226
- grand jurors, need for training in conducting factual, 267
- how grand jurors conduct, 264
- improving grand jury investigations and reports (*see* Appendix C)
- law authorizing (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
- major, individual (*see generally* Investigative reports, individual)
- methods of grand juries rarely documented, 28
- number by topic, 97
- number by type of local government, 96–97
- number by year, 94–96
-

- number of topics by county, 95  
 of police departments, 105–108  
 organizing for, 74–78  
 range in number, 96  
 recommending to successors, 170–171  
 seventeen most frequent topics, 97  
 statutorily justified, examples, 110–111  
 types of, 100–105  
 voting on (*see* Voting: documenting approval of investigations and reports)  
 “wrap-up” investigations as strategy, 180–181  
*See also* Validity: suggestions to improve
- Investigations and civic values, five examples  
 indebtedness of local governments, 322–323  
 internal auditors in local government, extent of, 324–325  
 library, county, method of selecting periodicals, 323–324  
 “millennium bug” problem, adequacy of preparing for, 325–326  
 quasi-local governments, 321
- Investigative procedures and process  
 benefits of, 224–226  
 suggested flow chart, 224
- Investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship. *See* Newspapers, news media: investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship
- Investigative reports, individual  
 authority for investigation, 242  
 chronology of main issues, 243  
 comment requirements, 241  
 conclusion of report, 243  
 conclusions regarding findings, 241  
 findings, 241  
 format suggested for, 239–243  
 frequency of categories, 186–193
- local government, description of, 242  
 methods and validity of investigations, 243  
 narrative, 242–243  
 organized by category, 186–195  
 origin of investigation, 242  
 persistent problems in use of categories, 193–194  
 recommendations, 241  
 summary, use of, 240–241  
 synopsis, use of, 241  
 titles for, 240
- Investigators, grand jury authority to hire for crime-related purposes. *See* Crimes, investigations of: grand juries may not employ detectives
- J**
- Jails**  
 consultation concerning available to grand juries, 406n.96  
 grand jury authority concerning (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
- Jargon in final reports. *See* Final reports: readability and jargon
- “Job descriptions” for civil grand jurors, 314
- Joint investigations by committees of two or more grand juries. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: committees of grand juries of different counties, joint meetings
- Joint-powers agencies**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Judges. *See generally* Superior court(s)
- Judicial Council of California. *See* Selection: Judicial Council and standards
- Judiciary. *See generally* Superior court(s)
- Judgment**

**Jurisdiction**

authority for investigations and reports, 41  
of civil grand jury in California (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)  
criterion of, 63  
examples of problems, 120–125  
need for thorough understanding of by grand jurors, 216–217  
words used in Penal Code to describe, 48  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: jurisdiction, clarification, and consolidation of statutes pertaining to  
*See also* Superior court(s): no grand jury jurisdiction in

**Jurist**

Justified investigations  
criterion of, 64  
examples of problems, 132–134  
need for clear statement of in final reports, 64, 132  
signs of conflict of interest, 132  
suggestions for ensuring, 218–219  
*See also* Investigations: statutorily justified, examples

**L****LAFCO**

Lake County Grand Jury  
*See Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple*: need for grand jurors to be familiar with case  
*See* Libel: suit against Lake County Grand Jury  
Land, sales and transfers. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2  
Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution  
ax grinding, language use as an indication of, 197, 306  
citizenship, semantics of the word, 355

*See also* Citizens' Review Committee: proposal to change "grand jury" to

*See also* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations

*See also* District attorney(s): "my grand jury," use of phrase by

*See also* Final reports: readability and jargon

*See also* Finding(s): ambiguity of word

*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: "civic" rather than "civil" grand jury

*See also* Liability of grand jurors: grand jurors as "vendors"

*See also* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity: examples of

*See also* Writing styles, objective and subjective

Law-related criteria. *See* Criteria used for study: law-related

**Lawsuits**

grand jurors' fear of (*see* Grand juror(s): fear of liability)

*See also* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: indemnification and defense of grand jurors

Leaks and breaches of confidentiality.

*See* Confidentiality and secrecy: leaks, examples of

**Legal advisors** to grand jury. *See generally* Advisors, legal

**Legal profession**

and citizenship, 313  
publications and research concerning active citizenship, need for, 346

*See also* Citizenship: as legal profession uses term

Legislation, five types of proposed, 279

- Legislation, suggestions for, 278–297
- abolition or creation of offices, eliminating authority to recommend, 288
  - altering, obstructing, or revealing contents of any grand jury document is punishable as felony, 293
  - budgets of grand juries established by courts, 288
  - carry-over grand jurors, eliminate statute, 283
  - “civic” rather than “civil” grand jury, 282
  - comments to findings and recommendations presumed to represent position of official or body to whom directed, 293
  - complaints, received by panel, provision for in Penal Code Section 916, 260
  - complaints to grand jury received and considered only by grand jury as a body, obstruction of delivery in felony, 294
  - conflict of interest, duty of grand jurors to declare, 292
  - conflict of interest, provision of in Penal Code Section 916, 260
  - discharge of grand jurors, 283
  - documents, retention and destruction guidelines in Penal Code Section 916, 260
  - escheat proceedings, eliminate statute concerning, 286
  - expenditures of grand juries included in published budgets, 288
  - expungement of grand jury reports by court for violation of confidentiality, 290
  - expungement of grand jury reports by court authorized if court deems such material libelous, 289
  - facts, findings, and conclusions; judge must define in charges to civil grand jurors, 292
  - facts, findings, conclusions, recommendations sufficiently documented, 291
  - factuality, at least two independent facts substantiating material from interview, 290
  - foreman, authority of, 294
  - foremen, forewomen, recommended by grand jurors, 283
  - grand jurors, as individuals or members or subdivisions of grand jury, limit of authority, 294
  - grand jurors exempt from prosecution when court accepts report, 289
  - indictment use solely at grand jury’s discretion, 288
  - intimidation of grand jurors punishable as felony, 294
  - investigations must be justified in reports, 289
  - judge of superior court may order public official to submit responsive comment, 293
  - jurisdiction, clarification, and consolidation of statutes pertaining to, 284
  - legislative intent, suggested wording (*see* Intent, legislative: suggested wording)
  - materials, except those pertaining to indictments, may upon vote of necessary number of grand jurors be transmitted to succeeding grand juries, 294
  - “may” and “shall” in consolidated statute of grand jury jurisdiction, 285
  - membership in advocacy associations not permitted, 288
  - minority reports, issuance of, 294

- names of public officials in reports only in cases of noncriminal failure to discharge public duty, 289
- names or other identifying information permitted in reports only if grand jury has voted to include and sealing of vote, 289
- organize statutes into logical sequence, 281
- Penal Code Section 916, amendments to emphasize factuality, 291
- policy matters of local government, not within grand jury jurisdiction, 285
- presentments, restoration of (*see generally* Presentment)
- prisons, public; discretionary rather than mandatory duty to investigate, 286
- privilege denied for publication of plagiarized or undocumented reports, 291
- privilege for grand jurors when judge signs declaration, 289
- procedures manuals or handbook, annual revision required, 291
- public employees exempt from grand jury service, 283
- public-integrity crimes, prosecution by indictment only, 286
- public officials may discuss only facts qualified for public disclosure concerning office prior to publication of report concerning such office, 291
- public officials need not comment about matters not within jurisdiction of grand jury, 293
- public officials need not file comments about matters not independently investigated, 291
- public officials not complying with comment requirement, penalty for, 293
- relatives of grand jurors; grand jurors must be excluded from investigations, 292
- remove civil grand jury statutes from Penal Code, 281
- salary status of public officials, discretionary duty rather than mandatory, 286
- sealing of votes, Penal Code Section 930 amended to permit, 289
- selection (*see under* Selection)
- special counsel for civil grand juries, 288
- staggered-term statute, elimination of, 283
- standard of proof required for reports of investigations, 292
- Statement of Economic Interests, grand jurors exempt from filing, 283
- votes of grand jurors, affirmation in report of required number, 292
- Legislative district, special; grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Legislative intent. *See* Intent, legislative Lewis, C. S., 31
- Liability** of grand jurors  
grand jurors as “vendors,” 261  
insurance provisions not specified in statutes, 261  
*See also* Grand juror(s): fear of liability  
*See also* Lawsuits  
*See also* Penal Code Section 930
- Libel**  
chilling effects of threats of lawsuits for, 262  
example of possible lawsuit for, 267  
“negligent, incompetent, and wrong,” 55  
statute pertaining to (Penal Code Section 930), 62  
suit against Lake County Grand Jury, 54

- suit against Yuba County Grand Jury, 9–12, 204, 347
- suits for, 2
- term not in index to Penal Code relative to civil grand jury, 42, 260
- training topics for grand jurors, 217
- See also* Appendix B
- See also* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity: as defense in libel suit
- Librarians for grand juries, duties of, 181
- Library, county. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Library, grand jury
- establishing, 181
  - missing files, 412n.54
- Limitations of study, 27–31
- Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCO), grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Local government
- description of (*see* Investigative reports, individual)
  - grand jurors' lack of knowledge, 48
- Los Angeles County Grand Jury
- photographs of grand jurors, 153
  - pop-culture celebrity and, 312
  - “secret” investigation conducted by, 165
  - selection of grand jurors, 1929–1945, 269
- “Lost continent” of social science, grand jury as. *See* Grand jury: as “lost continent” of social science
- M**
- Major investigative reports. *See under* Investigative reports, individual
- Malfeasance**
- See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
  - See* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Mandamus**
- Manuals, procedures for grand juries.
- See* Procedures manuals, handbooks, guides
- Mariposa County Grand Jury
- See* History of the grand jury: Mariposa County Grand Jury
  - See* Indictment(s): Mariposa County Grand Jury, indictments filed by
- Mass society, predictions by Durkheim and de Tocqueville, 14
- May. *See* “Shall” and “may” in statutes
- McClatchy cases**
- concerning minority reports (*see* Final reports: minority reports, legality of)
  - concerning raw evidentiary material (*see generally* Raw evidentiary material holding)
- Mediating institutions. *See* Grand jury: as mediating institution
- Mediocrity, triviality of investigations and final reports. *See generally* Significance of investigations and final reports
- Meeting room of grand jury, 258
- Merced County Grand Jury. *See* Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem
- Methods and validity of investigation.
- See* Investigative reports, individual: methods and validity of investigations
- Methods of grand jury investigations
- words used in Penal Code to describe. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
  - See also* Investigations: methods of grand juries rarely documented
- Method used for study
- database overview, 94–97
  - final reports as principal source of data for study, 26–27
  - notes, number of, 22

- number of final reports in study and how obtained, 21–22  
 research strategies other than those used for study, 32–33  
*See also* Appendix A
- Mileage. *See* Expenses of grand jurors: fees and mileage
- “Millennium bug” problem. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Minor investigations, routine inspections, tours, visits (in 10-section format), 243–244
- Minority reports.** *See* Final reports: minority reports
- Misconduct by public officers. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Misdemeanor**
- Misfeasance**  
*See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance  
*See* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Monies, public, order to recover. *See* Presentment: to recover public monies or property
- Monitorial citizen. *See* Citizen(s): monitorial
- N**
- Names, publishing in final reports  
*See* Fair comment: names, publishing in final reports  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for: names of public officials in reports only in cases of non-criminal failure to discharge public duty
- Narrative. *See* Investigative reports, individual: narrative
- “Negligent, incompetent, and wrong.”  
*See* Libel: “negligent, incompetent, and wrong”
- Nepotism in grand jury service  
*See* Civic values: public employment and favoritism  
*See generally* Conflict of interest  
*See* Legislation, suggestions for: relatives of grand jurors; grand jurors must be excluded from investigations
- News media. *See* Social science research project concerning citizenship: news media, contributions of
- Newspaper inserts, final reports as. *See* Final reports: as newspaper inserts
- Newspapers, news media  
 achievements of grand juries, reports of, 113–114  
 “booster journalism,” 336  
 civic journalism replacing traditional investigative reporting, 336  
 contacting grand jurors, 163  
 coverage of grand juries, examples of, 304, 336, 339  
 error rates in, 387n.42  
 investigative reporting as Hollywood theme, 334  
 investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship, 313  
 journalism by telephone, 336  
 “news blackout” of local government (*see* Citizenship: “news blackout” of local government as barrier to development of) as profitable “industries,” 335  
 public confidence, loss of in, 335  
*See also* Citizenship: news media and  
*See also* First Amendment
- Nominating grand jurors. *See generally* Selection
- “No comment.” *See* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations: “no comment,” opinion concerning
- Nonfeasance**  
*See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance

- See* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Nonprofit corporations**  
ambiguity of term, 46  
*See also* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Normative analysis, distaste for. *See* Social science disciplines: normative analysis, distaste for
- O**
- Oath** taken by grand jurors, 56
- Objective and subjective writing styles. *See* Writing styles
- Obstructing justice**
- Officers of grand juries  
except for foremen, how chosen, 49  
proliferation of titles, 232  
*See also* Foreman, forewoman
- Officials, elected, as grand jurors. *See* Exemptions from grand jury service: officials, elected
- Oldfield, Adrian, 16
- Ombudsman.** *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Open Meeting Law**
- “Openness” in grand jury selection.  
*See* Selection: “openness”
- Operations, accounts, records, books, documents; investigation of.  
*See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Opinion(s), legal**
- Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, 57–59
- Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples, 57–59
- additional grand jury in each county, 58
- Atascadero Hospital not within meaning of Penal Code Section 919(b), 58
- committees of grand juries of different counties, joint meetings, 58
- courts, no grand jury jurisdiction in, 58
- expenses of grand jurors, 58
- indemnification and defense of grand jurors, 58
- information given by grand jury to successor, 58
- peace officer personnel records, grand jury access to, 58
- policies, no grand jury authority concerning, 59
- teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of, 59
- county counsels of California, examples, 59–63
- court, municipal, no grand jury jurisdiction in, 59
- district attorney, when grand jury may exclude, 59
- school districts, public, grand jury jurisdiction in, 59
- “shall” and “will,” meaning of, 59
- subpoena, how grand jury may request, 59
- Orange County Grand Jury and financial scandal, 5–6
- photographs of grand jurors, 153  
*See also* Commendations of public officials by grand jurors: Orange County Grand Jury
- Ordinance**
- Organizational assessment, as a type of investigation, 102
- Organizing individual investigative reports. *See* Investigative reports, individual: organized by category
- Orientation of grand jurors. *See generally* Training grand jurors
- Origin of investigation. *See* Investigative reports, individual: origin of investigation
- P**
- Panel**, use of term in study, 34
- “Paying attention.” *See* Citizenship: “paying attention”

- Peace officer personnel records  
grand jury authority concerning (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)  
opinion concerning, *See* Opinions  
pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: peace officer personnel records, grand jury access to
- Peer-review procedures. *See* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: peer-review procedures, developing
- Penal Code**  
authority for investigations and reports, 42–51  
revision of statutes pertaining to civil grand jury (*See generally* Legislation, suggestions for)  
statutes pertaining to civil grand jury not included in study, 391n.7
- Penal Code Section 916  
analysis of, 49–51  
findings, need for definition of, 260  
importance of, 48  
limitations of, 73  
problems identified in final reports, cost estimates for, 50  
procedures, need for additional examples of, 260  
as “self-government” charter for grand jury, 50  
two-person interview requirement, 50  
*See also* Findings: requirement to document  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: Penal Code Section 916, amendments to emphasize factuality  
*See also* Officers of grand juries: except for foreman, how chosen  
*See also* Rules of procedure: adoption of
- See also* “Spirit of the institution”: in Penal Code Section 916  
Penal Code Section 929. *See* Raw evidentiary material holding: Penal Code Section 929  
Penal Code Section 930  
constitutionality of, 55  
failure to use word “libel,” 260  
and immunity, 54  
privilege defined, 54  
similar statute not found in most American states, 431n.80
- Performance auditors**  
as trainers of grand jurors, 267  
*See also* Audits: performance, examples of
- Performance of duties, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Perjury**  
Persistent problems of grand juries and citizenship. *See* Citizenship: and persistent problems of grand juries  
examples of, 3–11  
*See also* Culture, grand jury  
*See also* Investigative reports, individual: persistent problems in use of categories
- Personnel records of peace officers. *See* Peace officer personnel records
- Photographs of grand jurors and other persons, 257  
and their social status, 310  
*See also* Los Angeles County Grand Jury: photographs of grand jurors  
*See also* Orange County Grand Jury: photographs of grand jurors
- Placer County Grand Jury tracking study, 172
- Plagiarism**  
word not in statutes, 42  
*See also* Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem
- Plaintiff**
-

- Plea**
- Police departments  
*See* Investigations: of police departments  
*See generally* Peace officer personnel records
- Policy, policies**  
 charge by court concerning, 45  
 controversy concerning grand jury jurisdiction in, 306–307  
 examples of by county counsel, 45  
 examples of recommendations about, 134  
 explanation by grand juror, 417n.36  
 failure of Legislature to be explicit in restricting grand jury jurisdiction in, 285  
 lack of authority for civil grand jury, 44  
 opinion concerning, *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: policies, no grand jury authority concerning  
 policy-detection exercise, 220  
 “policy poaching,” consequences of, 286  
 and procedure, criteria for distinguishing between, 221  
 and procedure, understanding differences between, 220  
 prosecutorial discretion and (*see* Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney)  
 wisdom of no jurisdiction in, 316  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for: policy matters of local government, not within grand jury jurisdiction
- Popper, Karl, 351
- Powers of the grand jury. *See generally* Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Pragmatism. *See* Citizenship: as pragmatism
- Precedent**
- Preconceptions in grand jury service  
*See generally* Idealized conception of the grand jury  
*See* Spirit of the institution: and what grand jurors want the institution to be
- Preliminary hearing**
- Preponderance of evidence**, 431n.83
- Presentment**  
 of findings and recommendations concerning local government, 298  
 of indictment, 298–299  
 of malfeasance, misfeasance, or nonfeasance, 299–300  
 reasons for restoration of, 297  
 to recover public monies or property, 300  
 use in Ireland, 420, 433
- Presiding judge**
- Printing of final reports. *See* Final reports: printing of
- Priorities of grand jury investigations  
*See* Selective basis: statutes requiring grand jury to conduct certain investigations  
*See* Workload: priorities, two suggested methods for establishing
- Prison(s)**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Private room  
*See* Grand jury: and “private room”  
*See* Meeting room of grand jury
- Privilege**. *See* Penal Code Section 930: privilege defined
- Probable cause**
- Probation**

- Problems identified in final reports,  
cost estimates  
*See generally* Cost estimates of  
problems identified in final  
reports
- Procedures**  
implications of ineffective grand  
jury, 155  
*See also* Policy, policies: and  
procedures  
*See also* Rules of procedure:  
adoption of
- Procedures manuals, handbooks, guides  
effects on grand jury culture, 256  
errors in, 61  
examples of topics in, 61  
reviewing of early in grand jury  
year, 209–210  
usefulness of, 61
- Professionalism  
and the rise of the administrative  
state, 401n.34  
city managers and county adminis-  
trators, 255  
ethical and technical limitations of,  
326  
internal auditors and performance  
auditors, 255  
problem of, 116  
*See also* Civic values: professional  
management of government
- Progressive movement  
and citizens' associations, 329  
and citizenship, 349  
espousal of "good government"  
principles, 255
- Prosecutor**  
Prosecutorial discretion. *See* Sierra  
County Grand Jury inquiry  
into involvement of Board of  
Supervisors in decisions by  
District Attorney
- Public defender(s).** *See* Public officials
- Public employees as grand jurors  
impartiality of grand jury investiga-  
tions by, 265  
*See also* Conflict of interest  
*See also* Selection: public employees  
as grand jurors
- Public-integrity offenses**  
grand jury formerly involved in  
prosecutions for, 255  
indictment necessary for prosecution  
of, 286
- Public offense(s)  
grand jurors may declare knowledge  
of, 56, 81  
*See also* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Public officers, removal by accusation.  
*See* Accusation for malfeasance,  
misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Public officials  
disclosure to of findings and  
recommendations by grand  
jury, 204  
district attorney, *See* El Dorado  
County Grand Jury  
district attorneys, relationships of  
grand juries with, general, 215  
elected, exempt from grand jury  
service, *See* Exemptions from  
grand jury service: officials,  
elected  
judges, relationships of grand juries  
with, 215  
public defenders, relationships of  
grand juries with, 215  
review of grand jury reports, 2  
standard of conduct required of  
because of fiduciary responsi-  
bility (*see* Fair comment: public  
officials; fiduciary duty)  
*See also* Bureaucratic encounters and  
conflicts  
*See also* Comments of public  
officials concerning grand jury  
investigations  
*See also* Legislation, suggestions for:  
comments to findings and  
recommendations presumed to  
represent position of official or  
body to whom directed
-

- See also* Superior court(s)
- Public school districts  
 authority of grand jury not explicit, 47  
 grand jury jurisdiction in (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)  
 opinion concerning grand jury jurisdiction in (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of)  
 school districts, public (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury: county counsels of California, examples: school districts, public, grand jury jurisdiction in)  
 as special districts, 55  
*See also* Independence, essay contests sponsored by grand juries for public schools
- Public scrutiny, required for honest and effective government. *See* Citizenship: scrutiny, public, required for honest, effective government
- Public sessions of grand juries as method of conducting investigation, 325
- Purchase, lease, or sale of equipment, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Q**
- Qualifications for grand jury service. *See generally* Selection
- Quasi-local governments. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Questionnaire(s)  
 ineffective use of, 137  
 need for full disclosure in survey research, 7
- See also* San Joaquin County Grand Jury: carry-over study  
*See also* Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study
- Questions citizens ask about the grand jury, 2
- Quorum of grand jurors. *See generally* Voting
- R**
- Randomness, strict, desirability of in grand jury selection. *See* Selection: randomness, strict, desirability of
- Raw evidentiary material holding as contradiction of Penal Code Section 916, 54, 263  
 Penal Code Section 929, 54  
 problem of, 262–264  
 as source of confusion for grand jurors and legal advisors, 263  
*See also* Factuality: decrease of in final reports because of “raw evidentiary material” problem
- Readability of final reports. *See* Final reports: readability and jargon
- Reasoning, chain of. *See* Facts, findings, conclusions, and recommendations: a chain of reasoning
- Recommendations**  
 forced compliance with by public officials, undesirability of statute requiring, 295  
 law governing (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)  
 no authority for grand jury to enforce compliance, 52  
 problems with, 143–148  
*See also* Investigative reports, individual: recommendations
- Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings, 26
- Records  
 access by grand jury to public (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)

- between successive grand juries, transmission of (*see* Information for succeeding grand juries: statute authorizing)
- Redevelopment agency(ies)**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- “Red tape,” 157
- Reform of civil grand jury. *See generally* Legislation, suggestions for
- Removal of public officials. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, and nonfeasance
- Reorganization of services, agencies, etc., as a type of investigation, 103
- Reporting power of grand jury. *See generally* Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Report to the community. *See* Final reports: tabloid formats, a suggested alternative
- Research strategies other than those used for study. *See* Method used for study: research strategies other than those used for study
- Resource needs of local government, as a type of investigation, 101
- Resources of grand juries and citizenship, 305
- comments about, 93–94
- need for grand jurors to understand, 214
- secretarial assistance, 94
- wasted, reasons for, 157
- See also* County employees as grand jury support staff: effects on grand jury culture
- See also* Expenditures of grand juries
- See also* Meeting room of grand jury
- Revolution, American. *See generally* American Revolution
- Rules of procedure adoption of, 49
- See also* Penal Code Section 916, procedures, need for additional examples of
- “Rubber stamp” grand juries. *See* Independence: “rubber stamp” grand juries
- “Runaway” grand jury. *See* Independence: “runaway” grand jury
- ## S
- Salaries of county elected officials, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- San Diego County Grand Jury dispute with County Counsel, 114
- See also* Subpoena, issuance of to grand jurors
- San Joaquin County Grand Jury carry-over study, 6–8
- hand-tally tracking system, 172
- San Mateo County Grand Jury Bay Area Rapid Transit incident, 203
- criticism of by County Supervisor, State Senator, 203
- Santa Barbara County Grand Jury hand-tally tracking system, 172
- Santa Clara County Grand Jury, dissolution of, 8–9
- Santa Cruz County Grand Jury discharged by judge, 205, 275
- See also* Complaints, accounting for status of by Santa Cruz County Grand Jury
- School for public affairs, grand jury as, 348–349
- School districts.** *See generally* Public school districts
- School vouchers. *See* Education: school vouchers
- Sealing reports or records**
- Secrecy. *See generally* Confidentiality and secrecy
- Secretarial assistance to grand juries
- See* Foreman, forewoman, conflict with county secretarial staff member
- See* Resources of grand juries: secretarial assistance

- “Secret” investigations. *See* Los Angeles County Grand Jury: “secret” investigation conducted by
- Selection
- annual plan for selecting civil grand jurors, 282
  - “blue ribbon” grand jury, decline of, 265–266
  - “blue ribbon” grand jury and district attorney, 265
  - “blue ribbon” grand jury, explanation of term by, 428n.61
  - “blue ribbon” grand jury, and social status, 254
  - carry-over grand jurors, discontinuance of appointing (*see* Contra Costa County Grand Jury)
  - carry-over grand jurors, reasons for eliminating statute authorizing, 276
  - case study of, 271–272
  - challenges to composition of grand jury, 277
  - civil function, importance for, 269
  - “competent” requirement in Penal Code, 276
  - discretion of court in, 270
  - economic self-interest, 274
  - eligibility for grand jury service (*see* Selection: “competent” requirement in Penal Code)
  - elite character, criticism of grand jury for its, 28, 254
  - examples of actual or possible selection practices, 270
  - exemptions from grand jury service, elected public officers and others, 274
  - flexibility of statutes, 270
  - grand jury box, 272
  - Judicial Council and standards, 282
  - limitations of economic self-interest argument, 274
  - limitations of study focus on, 28
  - “openness,” a proposal, 277–278
  - “openness,” elements of an annual plan, 277
  - “openness,” statutory provisions for, 282
  - plan available for public review, 278
  - political party affiliation, 275
  - political reality of generally ignored by the news media, 29
  - public employees as grand jurors, 273
  - randomness, claim of, Stanislaus County, 272
  - randomness, misleading use of term, 271
  - randomness, strict, desirability of, 276–277
  - staggered terms, 270
  - statutory provisions, 270–271
  - vagueness of statutes concerning, 29
  - volunteers for grand jury service, 270
  - See also* Legislation, staggered terms statute, elimination of
- Selective basis
- statutes requiring grand jury to conduct certain investigations, 46, 164
  - See also* “Shall” and “may” in statutes
- Self-government
- American government as experiment in, 18
  - renew ideal of, use of technology to, 355
  - as a work in progress, 350
- Self-interest. *See generally* Conflict of interest
- Self, the, and citizenship. *See* Citizenship: the Self and
- Seminars, Grand Jury Exchange. *See generally* Grand Jury Exchange Seminars
- Senate Bill 1457, 203
- Senate Bill 2000, 202, 203
- “Serious citizens”
- as grand jurors, 314–317
  - and spirit of the institution, 314

- “Shall” and “may” in statutes, 46, 74
- Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney, 117
- Significance of investigations and final reports
- criterion of, 67, 164–167
  - documenting “harm,” 233
  - “harm” as used in auditing profession, 413n.66
  - sensationalism not necessary to achieve, 232–233
  - trivial investigations, examples of, 166
- See also* Carry-over grand jurors, statute fosters mediocre investigations and reports
- See also* “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports
- See also* Minor investigations, routine inspections, tours, visits
- Size of grand juries, reduction in. *See* Grand jury: size of, reduction in
- Skills needed for effective grand jury service. *See* “Job descriptions” for civil grand jurors
- Slander**, 260
- SLAPPs. *See* Intimidation: SLAPPs
- Social issues and trends, as a type of investigation, 102
- Social science disciplines
- classic concerns of, 343
  - contributions of for citizenship research, 337–345
  - intellectual fashions and citizenship, 313
  - normative analysis, distaste for, 338
- Social science research project concerning citizenship, 330–347
- citizens’ experiences as activists, 341
  - civic re-armament, 342
  - education, contributions of, 331–334
  - examples of questions, 342
  - the law, contributions of, 345–347
  - news media, contributions of, 334–337
- Social scientists
- failure to study citizen intervention in government, 18
  - neglect of grand jury by, 344
- Social Security numbers, disclosure of by grand jury. *See* Confidentiality and secrecy: Social Security number, disclosure by grand jury
- Social status of grand jurors
- See* Bureaucracy, trappings of and grand juror social status
  - See* Carry-over grand jurors: social status and
  - See* Civic values: occupations of grand jurors and
  - See* District attorney(s): deference to by grand jurors and social status
  - See* Grand jurors: and social status
  - See* Los Angeles County Grand Jury: selection of grand jurors
  - See* Photographs of grand jurors: and their social status
  - See* Selection: “blue ribbon” grand jury, and social status
- Somnambulant citizenship. *See* Citizenship: somnambulant form of
- Sonoma County Grand Jury, comments about employees and political pressure, 115
- Special district(s)**, authority for investigation of. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Spirit of the institution
- and citizenship (*see* Citizenship: and spirit of the institution)
  - citizen’s tribunal, 254
  - discussion of term, 34
  - not observed in seventeenth-century England, 427n.58
  - in Penal Code Section 916, 51
  - and what grand jurors want the institution to be, 256

- See also* Civic values: citizenship, investigations, and the spirit of the institution
- See also* History of the grand jury
- See also* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Spying on grand juries. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Staggered terms
- See* Legislation, suggestions for: staggered-term statute, elimination of
- See* Selection: staggered terms
- Stanislaus County Grand Jury
- See* Selection: case study of
- See* Selection: randomness, claim of, Stanislaus County
- State civil grand jury. *See* Grand jury: State government, civil grand jury proposed for
- State hospital, opinion concerning. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: Atascadero State Hospital
- Statute(s)** pertaining to grand jury powers
- patchwork development of, 40
- problems in reading, 42–53
- See also* Jurisdiction
- See also* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Statutory basis of grand jury
- See* Common law: powers of the grand jury replaced by statutes
- See* Jurisdiction
- Statutory reorganization. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: organize statutes into logical sequence
- Statutory vagueness and ambiguity
- as defense in libel suit, 278
- examples of, 259–262
- See also* Findings: ambiguity of word
- See also* Penal Code Section 916: findings, need for definition of
- See also* Penal Code Section 930: failure to use word “libel”
- See also* Public school districts: authority of grand jury not explicit
- See also* Selection: vagueness of statutes concerning
- “Stopover in a Quiet Town.” *See* Citizenship: “Stopover in a Quiet Town” as metaphor
- Strategic lawsuits against public participation. *See* Intimidation: SLAPPs
- Subjecthood. *See* Citizenship: subjecthood, conditioning for
- Subjectivity. *See* Validity: and subjectivity
- Subjects of grand jury investigations. *See* Investigations: number by topics by county
- Subpoena**, issuance of to grand jurors, 398n.8
- Summaries of grand jury investigations of the study period
- as abstracts, 72
- number of, 22
- See also* Appendix A
- Summary. *See* Investigative reports, individual: summary, use of
- Superior court(s)**
- attitude of judges, effects on grand jury culture (*see* Charge, judicial: and grand jury culture)
- authority to review final reports, 51
- grand jury relationship with, 216
- no grand jury jurisdiction in, 5
- See also* Advisors, legal: authorized for grand jurors
- See also* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: courts, no grand jury jurisdiction in
- Supporting materials (in 10-section format), 245

- Survey research. *See generally* Questionnaires
- Synopsis. *See* Investigative reports, individual: synopsis, use of
- Systems, methods, and procedures, 134–136  
 criterion of, 64–65  
 suggestions for emphasizing, 220–222  
*See also* Policy, policies
- T**
- Tabloid formats for final reports. *See* Final reports: “tabloid” formats
- Taxing districts. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Teachers and principals. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of
- Technical criteria  
 assessment of final reports using, 182  
 explanation of, 68, 181–199  
 suggestions concerning, 236–249
- Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study, 6–8
- Ten-section format. *See* Final reports: ten-section format
- Term of service for civil grand jurors  
 calendar-year grand juries, number of in study period, 22  
 fiscal-year grand juries, number of in study period, 22  
 two-year term unwarranted, 295  
*See also* Carry-over grand jurors
- Testimony**
- Textbooks, civics. *See* Civics: textbooks, earlier era
- Timetable of grand jury events. *See* Work schedule, development of
- Titles of investigations. *See* Final reports: titles of investigations
- de Tocqueville, Alexis, 14
- See also* Civic community  
*See also* Mass society
- Topics investigated by grand juries. *See* Investigations, civil: number by topic
- Town hall era in New England, 329
- Tracking investigations, recommendations, and comments  
 techniques for, 171–175  
*See also* Amador County Grand Jury  
*See also* Continuity  
*See also* Placer County Grand Jury  
*See also* San Joaquin County Grand Jury  
*See also* Santa Barbara County Grand Jury
- Training grand jurors  
 for conducting and writing objective investigations, 222  
 for estimating costs and documenting findings, 223  
 suggestions for internal training, 223  
*See also* Factuality: suggestions for internal training in  
*See also* Grand Jury Exchange  
 Seminars: training in investigative methods  
*See also* Performance auditors: as trainers of grand jurors
- Trinity County Grand Jury dispute with County Counsel, 114
- Triviality. *See* Significance of investigations and final reports: trivial investigations, examples of
- True bill**
- Tulare County Grand Jury. *See* Conflict of interest: allegation against Tulare County Grand Jury concerning
- Two-person interview requirement. *See* Penal Code Section 916: two-person interview requirement
- Two-year term of service for grand jurors. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: two-year term unwarranted

Typography of final reports. *See* Final reports: typography

## V

Vagueness and ambiguity, statutory. *See generally* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity

### Validity

    criterion of, 65  
    determinants of in grand jury reports, 31  
    examples of problems, 137–141  
    of grand jury investigations and reports, 31–32  
    Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem, 136, 275  
    standard of proof required for reports of investigations (*see* Legislation, suggestions for: standard of proof required for reports of investigations)  
    and subjectivity, 263  
    suggestions to improve, 222–226  
    *See also* Appendix D  
    *See also* Investigative reports, individual: methods and validity of investigations  
“Vendors,” grand jurors as. *See* Liability of grand jurors: grand jurors as “vendors”

### Verification

Volunteer grand jurors  
    *See* Culture, grand jury: and volunteer grand jurors  
    *See* Selection: volunteers for grand jury service

### Voting

    documenting approval of investigations and reports, 243  
    Penal Code sections governing, 62  
    *See also* Legislation, suggestions for: votes of grand jurors, affirmation in report of required number

## W

### Warrant

Washington, George, and monarchical tradition, 350

“Watchdog” function. *See* Civil function of the grand jury: watchdog function

Webb, Sidney and Beatrice, 39

Whistle-blower, whistle-blowing by public employees, 349

Wilde, Oscar, 15

Willful, corrupt misconduct of public officers. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance

### Workload

    examples of accounting for, 168  
    grand jury control of, 82  
    influence of committee names on, 77  
    priorities, two suggested methods for establishing, 211  
    statistics, examples of, 234  
    *See also* Activity report (in 10-section format)  
    *See also* Indictment(s), effects on workload

Work schedule, development of, 210–211

“Wrap-up” investigations as strategy. *See* Investigations: “wrap-up” investigations as strategy

Wright, Ronald F., 19

Writ of mandate, possible use of to require compliance with comment requirement, 52

Writing styles, objective and subjective, 248

## Y

Y2K problem. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples: “millennium bug” problem, adequacy of preparing for

Yuba County Grand Jury  
and citizenship skills and knowledge,  
347  
libel suit, *See* Libel: suit against  
Yuba County Grand Jury

---